

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE SPLX.P0080 6006 10/066,094 01/31/2002 Steven Teig EXAMINER 23349 11/19/2004 STATTLER JOHANSEN & ADELI GARBOWSKI, LEIGH M P O BOX 51860 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PALO ALTO, CA 94303

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004

2825

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				um
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/066,094	TEIG ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Leigh Marie Garbowski	2825	
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	is communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	ss
<ul><li>If NO period for reply is specified above,</li><li>Failure to reply within the set or extended</li></ul>	COMMUNICATION or the provisions of 37 CFR 1 ate of this communication. ses than thirty (30) days, a re the maximum statutory period for reply will, by statu three months after the mail	·	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this community  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status				
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is i	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject	ct to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers				•
9)☐ The specification is objec	ed to by the Examir	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request t	nat any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing shee	(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is	objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-1	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of</li><li>3. Copies of the certinal</li><li>application from the</li></ul>	None of: the priority documer the priority documer fied copies of the pri e International Burea	nts have been received.  Ints have been received in Apploority documents have been received in Apploority documents have been received.	lication No ceived in this National Sta	ge
* See the attached detailed  Attachment(s)	Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not rec	eived.	
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892	(25koots)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date (7 sheets).	ing Review (PTO-948) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/M  S) Notice of Information	lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/066,094

Art Unit: 2825

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-4, 6, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Lee et al. [U.S. Patent #4,812,419].

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Leuschner [U.S. Patent #4,724,471].

Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kim [U.S. Patent #4,342,045].

Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hause et al. [U.S. Patent #6,137,182].

Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Eden et al. [U.S. Patent #5,620,916].

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Irinoda [U.S. Patent #5,726,499].

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Egitto et al. [U.S. Patent #6,522,014 B1].

Art Unit: 2825

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Goerlach et al. [U.S. Patent #6,787,905 B1].

Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Goda et al. [U.S. Patent Application Publication #2001/0002713 A1].

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Katz [U.S. Patent #6,310,398 B1].

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Huang et al. [U.S. Patent #6,441,494 B2].

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Nagasaka et al. [U.S. Patent #6,300,683 B1].

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Broadbent [U.S. Patent #5,063,175] disclose circular vias. Smith et al. [U.S. Patent #5,055,966] disclose multilayer circuit structures with circular vias. Pohland et al. [U.S. Patent #6,596,466 B1] disclose that effects can give rise to circular shape. Jang [U.S. Patent #6,229,214 B1] disclose shapes for contact holes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh Marie Garbowski whose telephone number is 571-272-1893. The examiner can normally be reached on days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,094

Art Unit: 2825

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

EIGH M. GARBOWSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER